

2017 B 12541

WE WANTED

A REVOLUTION

BLACK

RADICAL

WOMEN

1965-85

A SOURCEBOOK

CONTENTS

FOREWORD		10
	<i>Anne Pasternak</i>	
ACKNOWLEDGMENTS		12
REVOLUTIONARY HOPE: LANDMARK WRITINGS, 1965-85		16
	<i>Catherine Morris and Rujeko Hockley</i>	
SPIRAL, THE BLACK ARTS MOVEMENT, AND "WHERE WE AT" BLACK WOMEN ARTISTS		26
	<i>Connie H. Choi</i>	
Source 1	Why Spiral? (1966) <i>Jeanne Siegel</i>	33
2	Any Day Now: Black Art and Black Liberation (1969) <i>Larry Neal</i>	39
3	Africobra: African Commune of Bad Relevant Artists, 10 in Search of a Nation (1970) <i>Jeff Donaldson</i>	52
4	"Where We At" Black Women Artists (1972) <i>Kay Brown</i>	62
RACE AND WOMEN'S LIBERATION		66
	<i>Rujeko Hockley</i>	
5	An Argument for Black Women's Liberation as a Revolutionary Force (1969) <i>Mary Ann Weathers</i>	70
6	What the Black Woman Thinks About Women's Lib (1971) <i>Toni Morrison</i>	75
7	In Search of Our Mothers' Gardens: The Creativity of Black Women in the South (1974) <i>Alice Walker</i>	84
8	Black Feminism: A New Mandate (1974) <i>Margaret Sloan</i>	94
9	A Litany for Survival (1978) <i>Audre Lorde</i>	99
FAITH RINGGOLD'S RADICAL ACTIVISM		100
	<i>Catherine Morris</i>	
10	For the Women's House: Interview with Faith Ringgold (1972) <i>Michele Wallace</i>	104
COLLECTIVE ARTIST ACTIONS IN NEW YORK		114
	<i>Carmen Hermo</i>	
11	The Demands of Art Workers Coalition (1969) <i>Art Workers' Coalition</i>	120
12	To the Viewing Public for the 1970 Whitney Annual Exhibition (undated) <i>Women Artists in Revolution, Women's Ad Hoc Committee, and Women Students and Artists for Black Art Liberation</i>	122

13	Letter of withdrawal from <i>Contemporary Black Artists in America</i> , Whitney Museum of American Art, 1971 (1971) <i>John Dowell, Sam Gilliam, Daniel Johnson, Joe Overstreet, Melvin Edwards, Richard Hunt, and William T. Williams</i>	124
14	Letter in defense of the Judson Three (1971) <i>Flo Kennedy, Gerald Lefcourt, and Robert Projansky</i>	126
15	Letter of support for the Judson Three (1971) <i>The Committee to Defend the Judson Three</i>	128
16	Color Scheming (1981) <i>Lucy R. Lippard</i>	130
JUST ABOVE MIDTOWN GALLERY <i>Rujeko Hockley</i>		134
17	Letter to her parents (1967) <i>Linda Goode Bryant</i>	139
18	Cover artwork (undated) for <i>Black Currant</i> 1, no. 1 (May 1982) <i>Janet Henry</i>	144
19	<i>B Culture</i> 1, no. 1 (1986) <i>Greg Tate and Craig Dennis Street</i>	146
20	Interview with Linda Goode Bryant (1994) <i>Tony Whitfield</i>	148
SENGA NENGUDI'S <i>FREEWAY FETS</i> <i>Rujeko Hockley</i>		166
21	Announcement card for <i>Freeway Fets</i> (1978) <i>Senga Nengudi</i>	170
THE COMBAHEE RIVER COLLECTIVE <i>Rujeko Hockley</i>		172
22	A Black Feminist Statement (1977) <i>The Combahee River Collective</i>	176
STRUGGLING FOR DIVERSITY IN <i>HERESIES</i> <i>Catherine Morris</i>		184
23	Letters between Combahee River Collective and <i>Heresies</i> Lesbian Issue Collective in "Women's Traditional Arts—The Politics of Aesthetics," <i>Heresies</i> , issue 4 (1978)	188
24	Third World Women Speak (1978) <i>Lowery Stokes Sims</i>	190
25	Editorial Statement in "Third World Women—The Politics of Being Other," <i>Heresies</i> , issue 8 (1979) <i>Lula Mae Blocton, Yvonne Flowers, Valerie Harris, Zarina Hashmi, Virginia Jaramillo, Dawn Russell, and Naeemah Shabazz</i>	194
26	Mlle Bourgeoise Noire Goes to the New Museum, in "The Women's Pages," <i>Heresies</i> , issue 14 (1982) <i>Lorraine O'Grady</i>	197
27	Editorial Statement and <i>Heresies</i> Collective Statement in "Racism Is the Issue," <i>Heresies</i> , issue 15 (1982) <i>Vivian E. Browne, Cynthia Carr, Michele Godwin, Hattie Gossett, Carole Gregory, Sue Heinemann, Lucy R. Lippard, May Stevens, Cecilia Vicuña, and Sylvia Witts Vitale</i>	198

28	Some Do's and Don'ts for Black Women Artists, in "Racism Is the Issue," <i>Heresies</i> , issue 15 (1982) <i>Emma Amos</i>	205
29	Untitled, in "Racism Is the Issue," <i>Heresies</i> , issue 15 (1982) <i>Lorna Simpson</i>	206
30	Black Dreams, in "Racism Is the Issue," <i>Heresies</i> , issue 15 (1982) <i>Lorraine O'Grady</i>	208
ANA MENDIETA'S <i>DIALECTICS OF ISOLATION</i> <i>Stephanie Weissberg</i>		210
31	<i>Dialectics of Isolation: An Exhibition of Third World Women Artists of the United States</i> , excerpts (1980)	
	Introduction <i>Ana Mendieta</i>	214
	Artist's statement <i>Beverly Buchanan</i>	216
	Artist's statement <i>Janet Henry</i>	218
	Artist's statement <i>Senga Nengudi</i>	220
	Artist's statement <i>Howardena Pindell</i>	222
32	On Making a Video— <i>Free, White and 21</i> (1992) <i>Howardena Pindell</i>	224
GENDER POLITICS AT THE INTERSECTION OF RACE, CLASS, AND SEXUAL IDENTITY <i>Carmen Hermo</i>		230
33	Speaking in Tongues: A Letter to Third World Women Writers (1981) <i>Gloria Anzaldúa</i>	234
34	Revolutionary Hope: A Conversation Between James Baldwin and Audre Lorde (1984) <i>James Baldwin and Audre Lorde</i>	242
THE EIGHTIES <i>Rujeko Hockley</i>		252
35	Art (World) & Racism: Testimony, Documentation and Statistics (1987) <i>Howardena Pindell</i>	257
36	Confession—Filming Family: An Interview with Artist and Filmmaker Camille Billops (1996) <i>bell hooks</i>	292
37	Photo spread of Rodeo Caldonia (1987)	300
38	She Came with the Rodeo (1994) <i>Lisa Jones</i>	302
SOURCES		314
COPYRIGHT CREDITS		316
ARTISTS IN THE EXHIBITION <i>WE WANTED A REVOLUTION: BLACK RADICAL WOMEN, 1965-85</i>		317
BROOKLYN MUSEUM BOARD OF TRUSTEES		318

BROOKLYN MUSEUM
BOARD OF TRUSTEES

OFFICERS

Barbara M. Vogelstein
Chair

Stephanie Ingrassia
Vice Chair

Leslie A. Puth
Vice Chair

Sharon E. Fay
Treasurer

Sandra Williams-Cornwell
Secretary

MEMBERS

Sarah Arison
Tamara C. Belinfanti
Leslie L. Beller
Adele Bernhard
Jill K. Bernstein
Richard M. Cashin
Constance L. Christensen
Andrew Cogan
Kasseem Dean
Barbara Knowles Debs
Nikola Duravcevic
Henry B. Elsesser
Norman M. Feinberg
Joseph G. Finnerty III
Karen Kiehl
Lynne M. Maguire
Joel Mallin
Richard W. Moore
Vik Muniz
Otis Pratt Pearsall*
Cecilia Picon Torres
Tracey G. Riese
Victoria M. Rogers
Robert S. Rubin
Elizabeth A. Sackler
Carla Shen
Daniel Swasbrook
John S. Tamagni
Ellen N. Taubman
Mickalene Thomas
Fred Tomaselli
Susan Weber
Olivia Lawrence Wolfe

*Trustee Emeritus

EX-OFFICIO

Bill de Blasio
Mayor
City of New York

Melissa Mark-Viverito
Speaker
Council of the City
of New York

Scott Stringer
Comptroller
City of New York

Eric Adams
President
Borough of Brooklyn

Tom Finkelpearl
Commissioner
Department of Cultural
Affairs

SHELBY WHITE AND
LEON LEVY DIRECTOR
Anne Pasternak

BOARD OF ADVISORS

Olivia Song, *Chair*
Noreen Weiss Adler
Nancy K. Bowe
James Cohan
K. Don Cornwell
Hope Dana
Katharine Davis Fishman
Gayle Fuguitt
Joshua Greenberg
Marilyn Greenberg
Ellen Hamilton
Tina Kim
Miyoung E. Lee
Phillip Marriott
Jeanne Masel
Gabriel Pizzi
Karen Robinovitz
Anja Rubik
Andrew Saltoun
Sheri Sandler
Emily Santangelo
Sara and Marc Schiller
Jon S. Sherman
Susan Sills
David Stark
Felicia Taylor
MaryRoss Taylor
Max von Gumpfenberg

As of January 19, 2017

Published on the occasion of the exhibition
We Wanted a Revolution: Black Radical Women, 1965–85
at the Brooklyn Museum,
April 21–September 17, 2017

TRAVEL ITINERARY

California African American Museum, Los Angeles
Albright-Knox Art Gallery, Buffalo, New York
The Institute of Contemporary Art/Boston

We Wanted a Revolution: Black Radical Women, 1965–85 is organized by Catherine Morris, Sackler Family Senior Curator for the Elizabeth A. Sackler Center for Feminist Art, and Rujeko Hockley, former Assistant Curator of Contemporary Art, Brooklyn Museum.

Generous support for this exhibition is provided by the Ford Foundation, the Elizabeth A. Sackler Foundation, the Brooklyn Museum's Contemporary Art Acquisitions Committee, The Andy Warhol Foundation for the Visual Arts, The Shelley & Donald Rubin Foundation, and The Barbara Lee Family Foundation.



The Andy Warhol Foundation for the Visual Arts



We Wanted a Revolution: Black Radical Women, 1965–85 / *A Sourcebook* has been made possible by the Elizabeth A. Sackler Foundation. Additional support has been provided by Mary Jo and Ted Shen.

We Wanted a Revolution: Black Radical Women, 1965–85 publication and the related symposium have been made possible by the Elizabeth A. Sackler Foundation. Additional support has been provided by Mary Jo and Ted Shen.

The exhibition is part of *A Year of Yes: Reimagining Feminism at the Brooklyn Museum*, for which leadership support is provided by Elizabeth A. Sackler, the Ford Foundation, the Stavros Niarchos Foundation, Anne Klein, the Calvin Klein Family Foundation, the Institute of Museum and Library Services, Mary Jo and Ted Shen, and an anonymous donor. Generous support is also provided by Annette Blum, the Taylor Foundation, the Antonia and Vladimer Kulaev Cultural Heritage Fund, Beth Dozoretz, The Cowles Charitable Trust, and Almine Rech Gallery.

© 2017 Brooklyn Museum

All rights reserved

No part of the contents of this book may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, or otherwise, without the written permission of the Brooklyn Museum.

LIBRARY OF CONGRESS CATALOGING-IN-PUBLICATION DATA:
Names: Morris, Catherine, editor. | Hockley, Rujeko, editor. | Choi, Connie H., writer of added text. | Hermo, Carmen, writer of added text. | Weissberg, Stephanie, writer of added text. | Brooklyn Museum, issuing body, host institution.
Title: *We wanted a revolution: black radical women, 1965–85* : a sourcebook / edited by Catherine Morris and Rujeko Hockley ; texts by Connie H. Choi, Carmen Hermo, Rujeko Hockley, Catherine Morris, Stephanie Weissberg.
Other titles: *Black radical women, 1965–85* : a sourcebook
Description: Brooklyn, NY : Brooklyn Museum, 2017. | Published on the occasion of an exhibition organized by the Brooklyn Museum and on view at the museum from April 21–September 17, 2017.
Identifiers: LCCN 2016054479 | ISBN 9780872731837 (pbk.)
Subjects: LCSH: African American feminists—History—20th century—Exhibitions. | African American women authors—History—20th century—Exhibitions. | African American radicals—20th century—Exhibitions. | African American women—Political activity—20th century—Exhibitions. | Feminist literature—United States—History—20th century—Exhibitions. | Feminism—History—Sources—Exhibitions.
Classification: LCC HQ1421 .W4 2017 | DDC 305.48/896073—dc23
LC record available at <https://lccn.loc.gov/2016054479>

First published in the United States of America in 2017 by Brooklyn Museum
200 Eastern Parkway
Brooklyn, NY 11238-6052
www.brooklynmuseum.org

Distributed by



This volume was produced at the Brooklyn Museum:
James D. Leggio, Head of Publications and Editorial Services
Jae-eun Chung, Director of Graphic Design

Project Editor: Joanna Ekman
Image and Rights Acquisition: Jessica Palinski
Designer: Adam O'Reilly
Design Intern: Michael Enten
Proofreaders: Richard Slovak and Kelli Rae Patton

New photography of Brooklyn Museum objects and original materials is by Sarah DeSantis and Jonathan Dorado, and digitization of additional original materials is by David Alvarez, Digital Collections and Services, Brooklyn Museum.

Printed in the United States of America
Typefaces: GT America and Compacta

Back cover: Dindga McCannon (American, b. 1947). *Revolutionary Sister*, 1971. Mixed media construction on wood, 62 x 27 in. (157.5 x 68.6 cm). Brooklyn Museum, Gift of R. M. Atwater, Anna Wolfrom Dove, Alice Fiebiger, Joseph Fiebiger, Belle Campbell Harriss, and Emma L. Hyde, by exchange, Designated Purchase Fund, Mary Smith Dorward Fund, Dick S. Ramsay Fund, and Caril H. de Silver Fund, 2012.80.32. © Dindga McCannon. (Photo: Jonathan Dorado, Brooklyn Museum)

The Combahee River Collective was a Boston-based black lesbian feminist organization that first came together in January 1974. The group was part of a wave of black feminist organizations active in the United States in the 1970s, including the National Alliance of Black Feminists (NABF), the National Black Feminist Organization (NBFO), and the Third World Women's Alliance (TWWA). Combahee's principal originators and organizers were Barbara and Beverly Smith, twin sisters from Cleveland, Ohio, who had been active in the Civil Rights Movement in the 1960s, participating in school desegregation struggles as high school students and then canvassing for the Congress of Racial Equality (CORE). Other key organizers included Demita Frazier, who, along with the Smith sisters, was the primary author of the group's Statement [Source 22], and Sharon Bourke, a trained Marxist who was largely responsible for the class-based analysis that Combahee adopted. As was the case for many politically active black women of their generation, the organizers' shift toward the Women's Movement was precipitated by the hypermasculinist, nationalist, and patriarchal attitudes that became increasingly

pervasive in 1970s black liberation politics and organizing.¹ Uncomfortable in the Black Liberation Movement, they looked to the Women's Movement but found mainstream feminism, composed primarily of middle-class white women, an equally unsuitable home. Echoing the sentiments of Toni Morrison (see [Source 6]) and other contemporary women of color, both Smiths thought white feminists "were just crazy because [we] couldn't understand what white women had to complain about."² About a dozen black women attended their first meeting, convened after the Smith sisters (and other soon-to-be Combahee members) attended the NBFO's Eastern Regional Conference in New York in December 1973.

Although they originally conceived their group as a Boston chapter of the NBFO (founded in August 1973), the members of what became the Combahee River Collective decided to split from that organization by the summer of 1974. They felt that the NBFO's politics were not radical enough and that its antisexist analyses and practices, like those of mainstream feminist entities such as the National Organization for Women, were not sufficiently integrated with a

clear understanding of race and class oppression. Specifically, members of Combahee felt that the NBFO did not adequately address the needs and concerns of a diverse population of black women, particularly poor and working-class women, “Third World” women, and lesbians. They named themselves after the 1863 Combahee Ferry Raid, a military operation led by Harriet Tubman on the Combahee River in South Carolina in which black Union soldiers freed more than 750 enslaved people—among the very few U.S. military actions planned and executed by a woman.

Combahee’s most significant contribution to both the history and theory of black feminism is its articulation of the interconnection of race, class, gender, and sexuality in an individual’s life, as put forward in its Statement, published in April 1977: “The most general statement of our politics . . . would be that we are actively committed to struggling against racial, sexual, heterosexual, and class oppression and see as our particular task the development of integrated analysis and practice based upon the fact that the major systems of oppression are interlocking. The synthesis of these oppressions creates the conditions of our lives.”³ The Statement’s authors foregrounded the existence of black women activists who had always resisted the American political system’s “inroads upon them and their communities”—from well-known figures such as Tubman and Sojourner Truth to the “thousands upon thousands unknown.” In their estimation, what set black women apart, and made them uniquely qualified to address and redress inequality in the United States and beyond, was that they had always existed at the intersections of race, class, gender, and sexual identity.

Combahee’s explication of oppressions as interconnected and multiple directly contributed to the development and application of the concept of intersectionality as a framework for analysis in law, sociology, and other social science fields.⁴ Proceeding from the understanding that various axes of identity interact simultaneously to produce a person’s experiences of and in the world—whether those experiences are systemic or individual—intersectional theory encourages a multidimensional approach to oppression and

discrimination. In addition to this contribution, Combahee was integral to the development of so-called identity politics in the 1980s, 1990s, and beyond. Combahee’s members were among the first to recognize and fully articulate a belief that “the most radical politics come directly out of [one’s] own identity, as opposed to working to end somebody else’s oppression.” Although they were black feminists and lesbians, speaking from and through those identities, they nonetheless advocated for solidarity with “progressive Black men,” in contrast to the separatism desired by some white lesbian feminist organizations and individuals of the era. A separatist stance left out too many, they believed, including other black women, as well as black men and children. Combahee placed itself alongside black men in the struggle against racism, but against them in the struggle against sexism; this positioning did not preclude solidarity, but it demanded accountability on the part of black men. White women were similarly held accountable: the elimination of racism within the mainstream Feminist Movement was “by definition work for white women to do.”⁵

Through their Statement and practices common to both the Civil Rights Movement and mainstream feminism—including consciousness-raising sessions, study groups, retreats, workshops for college and high school women, and organizing around socioeconomic issues of particular relevance to black women—the Combahee River Collective laid the groundwork for a future in which the powerful potential of black women to destabilize the status quo could be fully realized. As their Statement says, “If black women were free, it would mean that everyone else would have to be free since our freedom would necessitate the destruction of all the systems of oppression.”⁶

NOTES

1. Kimberly Springer, *Living for the Revolution: Black Feminist Organizations, 1968-1980* (Durham, N.C.: Duke University Press, 2006), 56.
2. Barbara Smith, quoted in Springer, *Living for the Revolution*, 57.
3. Quotations from the Statement in this paragraph are from [Source 22](#), pp. 176-77, in this volume.
4. See, for example, Kimberlé Crenshaw, "Demarginalizing the Intersection of Race and Sex: A Black Feminist Critique of Antidiscrimination Doctrine, Feminist Theory, and Antiracist Politics," *University of Chicago Legal Forum* 140 (1989): 139-67, and Crenshaw, "Mapping the Margins: Intersectionality, Identity Politics and Violence Against Women of Color," *Stanford Law Review* 43, no. 6 (1991): 1241-99.
5. [Source 22](#), p. 182, in this volume.
6. *Ibid.*, p. 180.

A BLACK FEMINIST STATEMENT
The Combahee River Collective

We are a collective of black feminists who have been meeting together since 1974.¹ During that time we have been involved in the process of defining and clarifying our politics, while at the same time doing political work within our own group and in coalition with other progressive organizations and movements. The most general statement of our politics at the present time would be that we are actively committed to struggling against racial, sexual, heterosexual, and class oppression and see as our particular task the development of integrated analysis and practice based upon the fact that the major systems of oppression are interlocking. The synthesis of these oppressions creates the conditions of our lives. As black women we see black feminism as the logical political movement to combat the manifold and simultaneous oppressions that all women of color face.

We will discuss four major topics in the paper that follows: (1) The genesis of contemporary black feminism; (2) what we believe, i.e., the specific province of our politics; (3) the problems in organizing black feminists, including a brief herstory of our collective; and (4) black feminist issues and practice.

1. THE GENESIS OF CONTEMPORARY BLACK FEMINISM

Before looking at the recent development of black feminism, we would like to affirm that we find our origins in the historical reality of Afro-American women's continuous life-and-death struggle for survival and liberation. Black women's extremely negative relationship to the American political system (a system of white male rule) has always been determined by our membership in two oppressed racial and sexual castes. As Angela Davis points out in

"Reflections on the Black Woman's Role in the Community of Slaves," black women have always embodied, if only in their physical manifestation, an adversary stance to white male rule and have actively resisted its inroads upon them and their communities in both dramatic and subtle ways. There have always been black women activists—some known, like Sojourner Truth, Harriet Tubman, Frances E. W. Harper, Ida B. Wells Barnett, and Mary Church Terrell, and thousands upon thousands unknown—who had a shared awareness of how their sexual identity combined with their racial identity to make their whole life situation and the focus of their political struggles unique. Contemporary black feminism is the outgrowth of countless generations of personal sacrifice, militancy, and work by our mothers and sisters.

A black feminist presence has evolved most obviously in connection with the second wave of the American women's movement beginning in the late 1960s. Black, other Third World, and working women have been involved in the feminist movement from its start, but both outside reactionary forces and racism and elitism within the movement itself have served to obscure our participation. In 1973 black feminists, primarily located in New York, felt the necessity of forming a separate black feminist group. This became the National Black Feminist Organization (NBFO).

Black feminist politics also have an obvious connection to movements for black liberation, particularly those of the 1960s and 1970s. Many of us were active in those movements (civil rights, black nationalism, the Black Panthers), and all of our lives were greatly affected and changed by their ideology, their goals, and the tactics used to achieve their goals. It was our experience and disillusionment within these liberation movements, as well as experience on the periphery of the white male left, that led to the need to develop a politics that was antiracist, unlike those of white women, and antisexist, unlike those of black and white men.

There is also undeniably a personal genesis for black feminism, that is, the political realization that comes from the seemingly personal experiences of individual black women's lives. Black feminists and many more black women who do not define themselves as feminists have all experienced sexual oppression as a constant factor in our day-to-day existence.

Black feminists often talk about their feelings of craziness before becoming conscious of the concepts of sexual politics, patriarchal rule, and, most importantly, feminism, the political analysis and practice that we women use to struggle against our oppression. The fact that racial politics and indeed racism are pervasive factors in our lives did not allow us, and still does not allow most black women, to look more deeply into our own experiences and define those things that make our lives what they are and our oppression specific to us. In the process of consciousness-raising, actually life-sharing, we began to recognize the commonality of our experiences and, from that sharing and growing consciousness, to build a politics that will change our lives and inevitably end our oppression.

Our development also must be tied to the contemporary economic and political position of black people. The post-World War II generation of black youth was the first to be able to minimally partake of certain educational and employment options, previously closed completely to black people. Although

our economic position is still at the very bottom of the American capitalist economy, a handful of us have been able to gain certain tools as a result of tokenism in education and employment which potentially enable us to more effectively fight our oppression.

A combined antiracist and antisexist position drew us together initially, and as we developed politically we addressed ourselves to heterosexism and economic oppression under capitalism.

2. WHAT WE BELIEVE

Above all else, our politics initially sprang from the shared belief that black women are inherently valuable, that our liberation is a necessity not as an adjunct to somebody else's but because of our need as human persons for autonomy. This may seem so obvious as to sound simplistic, but it is apparent that no other ostensibly progressive movement has ever considered our specific oppression a priority or worked seriously for the ending of that oppression. Merely naming the pejorative stereotypes attributed to black women (e.g., mammy, matriarch, Sapphire, whore, bulldagger), let alone cataloguing the cruel, often murderous, treatment we receive, indicates how little value has been placed upon our lives during four centuries of bondage in the Western hemisphere. We realize that the only people who care enough about us to work consistently for our liberation is us. Our politics evolve from a healthy love for ourselves, our sisters, and our community which allows us to continue our struggle and work.

This focusing upon our own oppression is embodied in the concept of identity politics. We believe that the most profound and potentially the most radical politics come directly out of our own identity, as opposed to working to end somebody else's oppression. In the case of black women this is a particularly repugnant, dangerous, threatening, and therefore revolutionary concept because it is obvious from looking at all the political movements that have preceded us that anyone is more worthy of liberation than ourselves. We reject pedestals, queenhood, and walking ten paces behind. To be recognized as human, levelly human, is enough.

We believe that sexual politics under patriarchy is as pervasive in black women's lives as are the politics of class and race. We also often find it difficult to separate race from class from sex oppression because in our lives they are most often experienced simultaneously. We know that there is such a thing as racial-sexual oppression which is neither solely racial nor solely sexual, e.g., the history of rape of black women by white men as a weapon of political repression.

Although we are feminists and lesbians, we feel solidarity with progressive black men and do not advocate the fractionalization that white women who are separatists demand. Our situation as black people necessitates that we have solidarity around the fact of race, which white women of course do not need to have with white men, unless it is their negative solidarity as racial oppressors. We struggle together with black men against racism, while we also struggle with black men about sexism.

We realize that the liberation of all oppressed peoples necessitates the destruction of the political-economic systems of capitalism and imperialism as well as patriarchy. We are socialists because we believe the work must

be organized for the collective benefit of those who do the work and create the products and not for the profit of the bosses. Material resources must be equally distributed among those who create these resources. We are not convinced, however, that a socialist revolution that is not also a feminist and antiracist revolution will guarantee our liberation. We have arrived at the necessity for developing an understanding of class relationships that takes into account the specific class position of black women who are generally marginal in the labor force, while at this particular time some of us are temporarily viewed as doubly desirable tokens at white-collar and professional levels. We need to articulate the real class situation of persons who are not merely raceless, sexless workers, but for whom racial and sexual oppression are significant determinants in their working/economic lives. Although we are in essential agreement with Marx's theory as it applied to the very specific economic relationships he analyzed, we know that this analysis must be extended further in order for us to understand our specific economic situation as black women.

A political contribution which we feel we have already made is the expansion of the feminist principle that the personal is political. In our consciousness-raising sessions, for example, we have in many ways gone beyond white women's revelations because we are dealing with the implications of race and class as well as sex. Even our black women's style of talking/testifying in black language about what we have experienced has a resonance that is both cultural and political. We have spent a great deal of energy delving into the cultural and experiential nature of our oppression out of necessity because none of these matters have ever been looked at before. No one before has ever examined the multilayered texture of black women's lives.

As we have already stated, we reject the stance of lesbian separatism because it is not a viable political analysis or strategy for us. It leaves out far too much and far too many people, particularly black men, women, and children. We have a great deal of criticism and loathing for what men have been socialized to be in this society: what they support, how they act, and how they oppress. But we do not have the misguided notion that it is their maleness, *per se*—i.e., their biological maleness—that makes them what they are. As black women we find any type of biological determinism a particularly dangerous and reactionary basis upon which to build a politic. We must also question whether lesbian separatism is an adequate and progressive political analysis and strategy, even for those who practice it, since it so completely denies any but the sexual sources of women's oppression, negating the facts of class and race.

3. PROBLEMS IN ORGANIZING BLACK FEMINISTS

During our years together as a black feminist collective we have experienced success and defeat, joy and pain, victory and failure. We have found that it is very difficult to organize around black feminist issues, difficult even to announce in certain contexts that we *are* black feminists. We have tried to think about the reasons for our difficulties, particularly since the white women's movement continues to be strong and to grow in many directions. In this section we will discuss some of the general reasons for the organizing

problems we face and also talk specifically about the stages in organizing our own collective.

The major source of difficulty in our political work is that we are not just trying to fight oppression on one front or even two, but instead to address a whole range of oppressions. We do not have racial, sexual, heterosexual, or class privilege to rely upon, nor do we have even the minimal access to resources and power that groups who possess any one of these types of privilege have.

The psychological toll of being a black woman and the difficulties this presents in reaching political consciousness and doing political work can never be underestimated. There is a very low value placed upon black women's psyches in this society, which is both racist and sexist. As an early group member once said, "We are all damaged people merely by virtue of being black women." We are dispossessed psychologically and on every other level, and yet we feel the necessity to struggle to change our condition and the condition of all black women. In "A Black Feminist's Search for Sisterhood," Michele Wallace arrives at this conclusion:

We exist as women who are black who are feminists, each stranded for the moment, working independently because there is not yet an environment in this society remotely congenial to our struggle—because, being on the bottom, we would have to do what no one else has done: we would have to fight the world.²

Wallace is not pessimistic but realistic in her assessment of black feminists' position, particularly in her allusion to the nearly classic isolation most of us face. We might use our position at the bottom, however, to make a clear leap into revolutionary action. If black women were free, it would mean that everyone else would have to be free since our freedom would necessitate the destruction of all the systems of oppression.

Feminism is, nevertheless, very threatening to the majority of black people because it calls into question some of the most basic assumptions about our existence, i.e., that gender should be a determinant of power relationships. Here is the way male and female roles were defined in a black nationalist pamphlet from the early 1970s.

We understand that it is and has been traditional that the man is the head of the house. He is the leader of the house/nation because his knowledge of the world is broader, his awareness is greater, his understanding is fuller and his application of this information is wiser. . . . After all, it is only reasonable that the man be the head of the house because he is able to defend and protect the development of his home. . . . Women cannot do the same things as men—they are made by nature to function differently. Equality of men and women is something that cannot happen even in the abstract world. Men are not equal to other men, i.e., ability, experience, or even understanding. The value of men and women can be seen as in the value of gold and silver—they are not equal but both have great value. We must realize that men and women

are a complement to each other because there is no house/family without a man and his wife. Both are essential to the development of any life.³

The material conditions of most black women would hardly lead them to upset both economic and sexual arrangements that seem to represent some stability in their lives. Many black women have a good understanding of both sexism and racism, but because of the everyday constrictions of their lives cannot risk struggling against them both.

The reaction of black men to feminism has been notoriously negative. They are, of course, even more threatened than black women by the possibility that black feminists might organize around our own needs. They realize that they might not only lose valuable and hard-working allies in their struggles but that they might also be forced to change their habitually sexist ways of interacting with and oppressing black women. Accusations that black feminism divides the black struggle are powerful deterrents to the growth of an autonomous black women's movement.

Still, hundreds of women have been active at different times during the three-year existence of our group. And every black woman who came, came out of a strongly felt need for some level of possibility that did not previously exist in her life.

When we first started meeting early in 1974 after the NBFO first eastern regional conference, we did not have a strategy for organizing, or even a focus. We just wanted to see what we had. After a period of months of not meeting, we began to meet again late in the year and started doing an intense variety of consciousness-raising. The overwhelming feeling that we had is that after years and years we had finally found each other. Although we were not doing political work as a group, individuals continued their involvement in lesbian politics, sterilization abuse and abortion rights work, Third World Women's International Women's Day activities, and support activity for the trials of Dr. Kenneth Edelin, Joan Little, and Inez Garcia. During our first summer, when membership had dropped off considerably, those of us remaining devoted serious discussion to the possibility of opening a refuge for battered women in a black community. (There was no refuge in Boston at that time.) We also decided around that time to become an independent collective since we had serious disagreements with NBFO's bourgeois-feminist stance and their lack of a clear political focus.

We also were contacted at that time by socialist feminists, with whom we had worked on abortion rights activities, who wanted to encourage us to attend the National Socialist Feminist Conference in Yellow Springs. One of our members did attend and despite the narrowness of the ideology that was promoted at that particular conference, we became more aware of the need for us to understand our own economic situation and to make our own economic analysis.

In the fall, when some members returned, we experienced several months of comparative inactivity and internal disagreements which were first conceptualized as a lesbian-straight split but which were also the result of class and political differences. During the summer those of us who were still meeting had determined the need to do political work and to move beyond

consciousness-raising and serving exclusively as an emotional support group. At the beginning of 1976, when some of the women who had not wanted to do political work and who also had voiced disagreements stopped attending of their own accord, we again looked for a focus. We decided at that time, with the addition of new members, to become a study group. We had always shared our reading with each other, and some of us had written papers on black feminism for group discussion a few months before this decision was made. We began functioning as a study group and also began discussing the possibility of starting a black feminist publication. We had a retreat in the late spring which provided a time for both political discussion and working out interpersonal issues. Currently we are planning to gather together a collection of black feminist writing. We feel that it is absolutely essential to demonstrate the reality of our politics to other black women and believe that we can do this through writing and distributing our work. The fact that individual black feminists are living in isolation all over the country, that our own numbers are small, and that we have some skills in writing, printing, and publishing makes us want to carry out these kinds of projects as a means of organizing black feminists as we continue to do political work in coalition with other groups.

4. BLACK FEMINIST ISSUES AND PRACTICE

During our time together we have identified and worked on many issues of particular relevance to black women. The inclusiveness of our politics makes us concerned with any situation that impinges upon the lives of women, Third World, and working people. We are of course particularly committed to working on those struggles in which race, sex, and class are simultaneous factors in oppression. We might, for example, become involved in workplace organizing at a factory that employs Third World women or picket a hospital that is cutting back on already inadequate health care to a Third World community, or set up a rape crisis center in a black neighborhood. Organizing around welfare or daycare concerns might also be a focus. The work to be done and the countless issues that this work represents merely reflect the pervasiveness of our oppression.

Issues and projects that collective members have actually worked on are sterilization abuse, abortion rights, battered women, rape, and health care. We have also done many workshops and educational on black feminism on college campuses, at women's conferences, and most recently for high school women.

One issue that is of major concern to us and that we have begun to publicly address is racism in the white women's movement. As black feminists we are made constantly and painfully aware of how little effort white women have made to understand and combat their racism, which requires among other things that they have a more than superficial comprehension of race, color, and black history and culture. Eliminating racism in the white women's movement is by definition work for white women to do, but we will continue to speak to and demand accountability on this issue.

In the practice of our politics we do not believe that the end always justifies the means. Many reactionary and destructive acts have been done in the name of achieving "correct" political goals. As feminists we do not want to mess over people in the name of politics. We believe in collective process and

a nonhierarchical distribution of power within our own group and in our vision of a revolutionary society. We are committed to a continual examination of our politics as they develop through criticism and self-criticism as an essential aspect of our practice. As black feminists and lesbians we know that we have a very definite revolutionary task to perform and we are ready for the lifetime of work and struggle before us.

NOTES

1. This statement is dated April 1977.
2. Michele Wallace, "A Black Feminist's Search for Sisterhood," *The Village Voice*, 28 July 1975, pp. 6-7.
3. Mumininas of Committee for Unified Newark, *Mwanamke Mwananchi (The Nationalist Woman)*, Newark, N.J., c. 1971, pp. 4-5.